



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

(HO)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/061,017	04/15/98	BAKER	S 42390.P5326

LM02/0605
HOWARD A SKAIST INTEL CORPORATION
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN
12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD
SEVENTH FLOOR
LOS ANGELES CA 90025-1026

EXAMINER	
VINCENT, D	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2732	14
DATE MAILED:	06/05/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

The reply brief filed 5/24/00 has been entered and considered.

The application has been forwarded to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences for decision on the appeal.

Regarding the appellant's remarks pertaining to the Examiner's Official Notice that "...the term burst is notoriously well known and is used when dealing with variable bit rate (VBR) traffic" (paper no. 5, pages 4-5), A reasonable challenge constitutes a demand for evidence made as soon as practicable during prosecution (MPEP § 2144.03). Thus, it is the Examiner's position that simply stating "Applicant specifically traverses the Examiner's characterization of the term bursts" (paper no. 6, page 3) did not amount to actually traversing the Official Notice itself and certainly was not equivalent to a demand for evidence. Furthermore, there was no mention of this argument in the Appellant's Brief.

DAVID R. VINCENT
PATENT EXAMINER
David Vincent 5/31/00